University of Wisconsin-Extension

GEOLOGICAL AND NATURAL HISTORY SURVEY 3817 Mineral Point Road Madison, Wisconsin 53705

M.E. Ostrom, State Geologist and Director

HYDROLOGY OF LOON CREEK, WISCONSIN

bу

W.A. Gebert

Open-File Report 71-5 26 p.

This report represents work performed by the Geological and Natural History Survey, and is released to the open files in the interest of making the information more readily available. This report has not been edited or reviewed for conformity with Geological and Natural History Survey standards and nomenclature.

HYDROLOGY OF LOON CREEK, WISCONSIN

By

Warren A. Gebert

U.S. Geological Survey Administrative Report

Prepared in Cooperation with Burnett County through University Extension--University of Wisconsin

Geological and Natural History Survey

January 21, 1971

HYDROLOGY OF LOON CREEK

by W. A. Gebert

This hydrologic study of Loon Creek was initiated to determine the feasibility of a proposed reservoir system. The work was done by the U.S. Geological Survey in cooperation with Burnett County through the University Extension--The University of Wisconsin Geological and Natural History Survey.

The following data were collected and interpreted for special areas of hydrologic interest in the Loon Creek watershed. <u>Streamflow.--A continuous-recording gaging station was established</u> on Loon Creek downstream from the proposed damsites (Site "I" in figure 1). Daily streamflow records from June 4, 1970, through November 5, 1970, are listed in table 1.

A seepage run was made July 8, 1970, on Loon Creek and Spring Creek. The measured discharges are shown in table 2. Also, a series of discharge measurements was obtained at two upstream locations, one on Loon Creek and one on Spring Creek. The results of the measurements are listed under E and C in table 3.

Monthly mean discharge values were estimated for Loon Creek by correlating the recorded discharge at the Loon Creek gaging station and the recorded discharge at two other gaging stations in the area. One station is Bashaw Brook near Shell Lake (not shown on map), which is a gage that has recorded open-water stream-

-1-

flow since 1964, and the other is the Namekagon River near Trego gage (not shown on map), which has been in operation since 1928. The monthly mean discharge recorded at these stations was transferred through the relation line to estimate the discharge of Loon Creek for 1964-70 (Table 4). Based on the seepage run of July 8 and the miscellaneous measurements obtained at the two upstream locations, about half the streamflow at the gaging station comes from Spring Creek and the other half from the main stem of Loon Creek.

The proposed reservoir system would have two dams on Loon Creek; one just below the confluence of Spring Creek and Loon Creek creating Lake "B" (planned elevation 968 feet), and one just upstream creating Lake "A", planned elevation 981 feet, (fig. 1). The inflow to each lake would be about half the flow at the Loon Creek gaging station. The estimated average mean inflow for each lake is shown in table 4. Each lake would have a mean annual inflow of about 4.5 cfs (cubic feet per second) which is equal to about 3,300 acre feet annually.

The flow recorded at the Loon Creek gaging station was almost entirely ground-water runoff for the period of operation. Most of the water came from the present lake system in the upper part of the Loon Creek watershed.

The present pattern of ground-water movement will be altered by the construction of the proposed reservoirs, but the quantity should not be reduced materially. As the proposed Lake "A" begins

-2-

to fill, the ground-water gradient between Loon Lake and Loon Creek will be reduced, and in consequence the ground-water flow into Lake "A" will decrease. This will cause the water elevation of Loon Lake to rise until it reaches the elevation (981 feet) of the Loon Lake spillway and begins discharging into Lake "A". If Lake "A" were filled to its normal elevation of 981 feet, the entire inflow would be derived from water passing over the spillway. Ground-water Flow.--Present and future ground-water conditions were evaluated by preparation of two potentiometric maps. A map of present (Oct. 21-23, 1970) conditions (fig. 2) was prepared using water levels in seven U.S. Geological Survey observation wells (table 5), numerous wells that had been installed by N. E. Isaacson and Assoc., and lake-surface elevations from U.S. Geological Survey topographic maps. The second map (fig. 3) represents the probable potentiometric surface after construction of the dams and complete filling of the lakes.

The amount of seepage from the reservoirs was computed using Darcy's law which is expressed as Q = TIL. Q is the quantity of water discharged in a unit of time, T is the transmissivity of the aquifer, I is the hydraulic gradient, and L is the length of the cross sectional area through which the water moves, measured normal to the direction of flow.

The transmissivity of the aquifer, a fairly uniform, medium grained, clean sand, was estimated by solving Darcy's law for T. The contours on the potentiometric map (fig. 2) were used with

-3-

the discharge values from the seepage run on July 8. The discharge at the Loon Creek gaging station was about the same on July 8 as on October 21-23, 1970, the period of the potentiometric map. Solving the equation yields a T value of 63,000 gpd per ft (gallons per day per foot) for a reach on Loon Creek and 62,500 gpd per ft for a reach on Spring Creek.

A transmissivity of 63,000 gpd per ft was used to compute the seepage since this value represents the rate at which the aquifer transmitted water during an actual seepage condition. However, this value may be low because Loon, Cadott, and Shoal Lakes have acquired a natural seal of silt deposits on the lake bottoms. A new reservoir may initially have a considerably higher T value.

The computed seepage losses for the normal lake levels are:

Average Seepage	Annual Seepage
(cfs)	(acre-feet)

Seepage fr	rom north s:	ide Lake "A"		3.5	2,500
Seepage fr	com southwe:	st side Lake	"A"	5.1	3,700
Seepage fr	com northwe:	st side Lake	"B"	2.5	1,900
Water Qual	lityA war	er sample w	as taken	at the Loon Cro	eek gaging
station si	te on Octob	per 9, 1970,	when the	e discharge was	18.0 cfs.
The result	s of the la	aboratory an	alysis an	ce:	

Total hardness = 67 milligrams per liter Total nitrates $(NO_3) = 0.7$ milligrams per liter Total phosphorous $(PO_4) = 0.05$ milligrams per liter Conductance = 130 micromhos per cm at 25°C Color = 30 cobalt units

This analysis indicates that the proposed reservoirs would initially have about the same general water quality as the existing lakes in the area.

سنجر در **الهار** در الا المستقدمين والمار الميهدر التي يعدد المالية والمالية المارية المارية المارية المارية المارية

<u>Conclusion</u>.--The following is a brief summary of the estimated inflow and losses for the proposed reservoirs:

Lake "A"

Average annual inflow:

. -- --

From upstream system = 3,300 acre-feet Average annual losses:

Seepage from north side = 2,500 acre-feet Seepage from southwest side = 3,700 acre-feet Evaporation from lake surface = 800 acre-feet Seepage through dam (estimated to be similar to present Loon Lake Dam) = 700 acre-feet Total losses = 7,700 acre-feet Balance: Average annual deficiency = 4,300 acre-feet

Lake "B"

Average annual inflow:

From upstream system = 3,300 acre-feet Seepage from southwest side Lake "A" = 3,700 acre-feet Seepage through Lake "A" dam = 700 acre-feet Total = 7,700 acre-feet

-5-

Lake "B" (continued)

Average annual losses:

Seepage from northwest side = 1,900 acre-feet Evaporation from lake surface = 200 acre-feet Seepage through the two dams in Lake "B" (estimated same as Lake "A" Dam) = 1,400 acre-feet Total losses = 3,500 acre-feet

Balance: Average annual surplus = 4,200 acre-feet The above summary illustrates that Lake "A" would not be able to maintain a full pool, while Lake "B" would have a surplus of 4,200 acre-feet if Lake "A" were filled to its planned level.

The seepage losses and gains listed in the summary are based on the assumption that the reservoirs would be filled to the planned elevations. Therefore, the computed seepage losses are maximum and would decrease with lower reservoir elevations. The inflow to Lake "B" from Lake "A" also would decrease with lower reservoir elevations at Lake "A". If Lake "B" were the only lake planned in the development, there would be a seepage loss from Lake "B" to Loon Creek. In that case, it is very unlikely that Lake "B" would be able to maintain its planned elevation.

An approximate reservoir-operation study was made to estimate how full Lake "A" would be with average inflow. During years of average inflow, Lake "A" probably would reach a maximum elevation of 972 feet in May and then recede until the following spring runoff period. The minimum elevation would be about 967 feet in the winter.

-6-

When the study was started, there was some concern that the water level in low lying areas adjacent to the reservoirs may be raised high enough to impair the area for some uses. The possible increase in the water table elevation, if the reservoirs were filled to their normal elevation, can be determined by observing the difference in the potentiometric surfaces between Figure 2 and Figure 3. It appears that the water table might be raised to a maximum of 20 feet in some areas immediately adjacent to Lake "A".



- ▲ E Streamflow measuring location and letter identification
- O GS-3 Observation wells and number

Figure 1.--Area map of Loon Creek

Base from U.S. Geological Survey Hertel quadrangle, 1955



Rice From U.S. Geological Survey

He-tet 1955 Webb Lake 1955 Websie 193













Fi 7

980 OF LOON CREEK AREA SHOWING THE ESTIMATED WITH PROPOSED RESERVOIRS AT AL OR PLANNED ELEVATIONS Donkers 3 3 1 5 Sec. 12



ز الله

1

T IIII

5.0

POTENTIOMETRIC MAP OF WATER TABLE NORMAL

975

the state of the well

Ĩ







الم الله الله الم 1.00 UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR GEOLOGICAL SURVEY WATER RESOURCES DIVISION

5

e - Ètà

<u>ي</u>

	Washington	5-3350.10
File Number		
	District	

Table 1

್ಷ-೧೯೯೯

feei ;

10/29/70 Used rating table dated

Gage heights used to half tenths between BEG hundredths below and tenths above these limits.

Gag	e Read to	Once a Day by					bundredths below and tenths above these limits.								
	Арви,		Млу		สตบไ		July	A	UGUAT	Ser	TEMBER		FOURTE		
Gage	t Discharge	Gage height	Discharge	Gage height	Disobarge	Gate	Discharge	Gage height	Discharge	Gage height	Discharge	DAT	THIRD	-	
1						3.62	6.8	3.61	6.5	3, 58	5,6	1	GNG		
2						3.9	5.9	3.59	5.9	3.63	7.0	2	N.		
3					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3.6	5.2	3.57	5.4	368	8.4	3	1ST		
1		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		3.64	7.3	3. 🗗	5.4	3.58	5.6	3.64	7.3	4	4		
5	_	<u> </u>		3.664	7.3	3. 1	5.4	3.57	5.4	3.63	7.0	5	4		
				3.65	7.6	3. 🖉	5.4	3.56	5.2	3.63	7.0	6	1. H 7.	uted	, M
		 }		3.65	7,6	3.5	5.4	3.60	6.2	3.61	6.5	7	ð	Comp	C. C.
				3.63	7.0	3.69	8.6	3. 8 8	13.1	3.58	5.6	Ř	inan a ₽		
				3.62	6.8	3.64	7.3	3.71	9.1	3.59	5.9		F01.8		1
		۱ ۱		3.62	6.8	3.60	6.2	3.66	7.9	3.66	7.9		 9		 .
5		1		3.59	5.9	3,19	5.9	3.64	7.3	3.63	7.0		T HID	:	:
1			2	3.60	6.2	3.5	5.4	3.61	6.5	3.60	6.2		 5	, ·-·	
		1: *		3.72	9.4	3.60	6.2	3.60	6.2	3.59	5.9	1. 	10.745		i .
			1	3.66	79	3.44	7.3	3.58	5.6	3.61	6.5	e to j E ta il		, ⁻ ·−··- ·	
	i - minina i - ini 1			3.66	7.9	3.60	6.7	3.68	8.4	3 7 5	10.2	1.59 	F193		1
		}	Ir	3.89	13.2	3.58	5.6	3.66	7.9	3.69	8.6	10 1 1 1 4 1			 - 91
	-!)		3.81	11.7	3.56	5.2	3.64	7.3	3,69	8.6	10	K i E H	applie	hecke
м	-		i. C	3.73	9.6	3.56	5.2	3.63	7.0	3.67	8.1	ila⊧ S	6cm	ixti.	isch e
1		4. <u>.</u> .		3 70	8.9	3.58	5.6	3.63	7.0	3.65	7.6			 	
		-	·	3 67	8.1	1 8	5 6	3.61	6.5	3 65	7.6	19 i	1. I.I.		
		k 	n of Selling at the second of Se	<u>- • • • · ·</u> -	7.6	3 56	5.2	3 61	6 5	3 65	7.6	.40	а -		
			ι.	3-61	6.5	3.57	5.4	3 63	7.0	3.61	6.5	21	. 4181		
,				2 50	5.0	2 57	5 /	3 63	7 0	2 60	6.0	22	÷ ;		· ·, .=-
	:		·*	ມະມາ ກ່ ວ	5.6	3 57	J:4 5 /.	3.00	1.0 4 a	2 66	7 0	23			:
				3 61	6.5	3 57	54 54	3 61	65	3.68	8.4	21		مىر ب	
		e	·	2 2 2 2 2 2		····		5 61 5 63		3 (0	0 4	251			
				J (] J (] J	2°0 2°0	3.20	5.2 6.2	3 50 3 50	50	, J , DY 3, 6/	0.0 7 3	26			
	an a			J;07 3 5 5	0÷0 7 c	- J = 40	- U - Z 	רב ב סיב בי	J07 5 K	1 4A	6.7		-	ر هر ا	eckrel
				υ.ες 1 - ες	/∍0 *? >	5.00 5.1	U:2 7 2	2 20	J₂0 5 ∕	3 50	5.0	25		اردمه	н. Г.
				3,04 3,63	1.3	3.03	7 - 0	אר כ רב ר	2.C	עניינ סייני	J. 7 5 4	29	C.	5	с с
				3,03	7 .0	3.00	1.9	5 28 	5.6	3, 28	3,0	<u>(</u> U)		(·	
						3.14	/ 3	3 50	5.2	* • * • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	·	31	<u>) } .</u>	·'	
					78		6.0		6.7	·	69			· ·	
i															
			:				•								

Sec. Sec. 4 9-192-a. July 1937 Daily Gage Height, in Feet, and Discharge, in Second-Feet, of ្ Loon 11 . È 7 June 4, 1970 through Nov. 5. 1970 Ť Danbury. ford 6. Drainage Area Equare Miles. Water-Stage Recorder Ratio 1970 NOVEMBER ABCH DECEMBER JANUARY FEBRUARY 80 Gage height Gage height Gage height Gage height Gage height (lage height DAT 0 Discharge Discharge Discharge Discharge Discharge Dischar 3 8 3. 58 5.6 3.86 13 ÷ 13 ÷ 12 2 3.58 5.6 3.82 3 3.58 3.83 12 5.6] 4 3 . 58 12 5.6 3.81 . Э. C. M 5 3.57 5.4 3.76 10 Max Min 3.59 5.9 8 • 3.71 9.1 7 1 8 4.24 17.5 0 4.33 18.5 10 4.21 17.2 30 . . 11 4.02 15 ш ŝ 12 3.93 14 g ġ 12 13 3.84 3 14 3.77 11 15 3.73 9.6 16 3**.70** 8.9 17 3.70 8.9 g 18 3.69 8.6 19 3.67 8.1 00 3**.67** 8.1 Ę Sec.-M. at 3.66 7.9 21 ぜっしえ 22 3**.65** 7.6 7.9 23 3.66 9.9 3.74 3.73 9.6 25 3.77 11 16 -4.01 15 Disch. 27 Disch. 14 3,92 ۰. Max Min. 29 3.84 12 10 3.83 12 VENIAR FES 3. 3.85 13 TOTAL 10,3 Meau Secon feet per iquore mie Rur all in inches :

Ru of a was f

.

Table 2.--Discharge of Loon Creek and Spring Creek During Seepage run July 8, 1970

Site	Dischar	ge (cub:	ic feet	per	second)
Loon Creek	0.73				
Loon Creek	1.42				
Loon Creek	2.35				
Loon Creek	3.54				
Spring Creek	1.90				
Spring Creek	2.08				
Spring Creek	3.11				
Spring Creek	3.92				
Loon Čreek	7.70	Gaging	Station	n	
Loon Creek	7.02				
Tributary	0.09				
Loon Creek	15.3				
	Site Loon Creek Loon Creek Loon Creek Spring Creek Spring Creek Spring Creek Spring Creek Loon Creek Loon Creek Tributary Loon Creek	SiteDischardLoon Creek0.73Loon Creek1.42Loon Creek2.35Loon Creek3.54Spring Creek1.90Spring Creek2.08Spring Creek3.11Spring Creek3.92Loon Creek7.70Loon Creek7.02Tributary0.09Loon Creek15.3	SiteDischarge (cub)Loon Creek0.73Loon Creek1.42Loon Creek2.35Loon Creek3.54Spring Creek1.90Spring Creek3.11Spring Creek3.92Loon Creek7.70GagingLoon CreekLoon Creek7.02Tributary0.09Loon Creek15.3	SiteDischarge (cubic feetLoon Creek0.73Loon Creek1.42Loon Creek2.35Loon Creek3.54Spring Creek1.90Spring Creek3.11Spring Creek3.92Loon Creek7.70Gaging StationLoon Creek7.02Tributary0.09Loon Creek15.3	SiteDischarge (cubic feet perLoon Creek0.73Loon Creek1.42Loon Creek2.35Loon Creek3.54Spring Creek1.90Spring Creek3.11Spring Creek3.92Loon Creek7.70Gaging StationLoon Creek7.02Tributary0.09Loon Creek15.3

Location of each site shown by letter designation on figure 1.

Table 3.--Discharge of Loon Creek and Spring Creek

			* 6 <u>~</u>		
	¹¹ E ¹¹	"C"	"I"	"J"	"L"
Date	Spring Creek at Loon Lake Road (cfs)	Loon Creek at Loon Lake Road (cfs)	Loon Creek at gaging station (cfs)	Loon Creek upstream from Eagle Lake (cfs)	Loon Creek downstream from Eagle Lake (cfs)
5/25/70	4 51	4 67	12 0	_	
6/3/70	2.70	4.31	10.5		-
6/22/70		_	7.74	-	-
7/ 8/70	1.90	2.35	7.70	7.02	15.3
8/10/70	2.09	2.31	7.45	-	12.5
9/11/70	2.36	2.69	5.93	7.27	9.11
10/ 9/70	5.27	6.85	18.0	_	
11/ 6/70	2.66	3.23	8.43	-	-

Location of each site shown by letter designation on figure 1.

9-220 6 (Oct. 1951)		Table	e 4		Ē	U DEPARTMI GEC WATER	NITED STA ENT OF TH NLOGICAL S	ATES HE INTERI SURVEY DIVISION	OR			Shcet.	of	Sheets
Esti: Monthl	nated y and ann	ual dischar	ge, in	cfs	, of _	Loon	Creek	XK	XXI ncar	Danbury	7 .			
					[Dr	ainage area	a,	square	miles]			16-26489-6	U.S. GOVERNMENT P	ALNTING OFFICE
WATER Year	Ост.	Nov.	DEC.	JAN.	FEB.	Mar.	Apr.	Мач	JUNE	JULY	Aug.	SEPT.	ANNUAL	MEAN
1964	4.3**	4.3**	3.7**	3.7**	3.7**	4.6**	11.2**	19.0**	6.6**	6.4*	6.8*	8.6*	82.9	6.9
1965	7.1*	4.6**	3.7**	3.7**	3.7**	4.6**	23.0**	20.0*	10.2*	9.8*	7.5*	9.5*	107.4	8.9
1966	9.9*	6.1**	10.0**	6.5**	6.5**	14.5**	18.0**	9.7*	8.3*	7.7*	7.7*	6.9*	111.8	9.3
1967	9.4**	7.0**	5.9**	6.1**	6.1**	6.5**	21.5*	9.1*	15.5*	7.4*	7.5*	7.1*	109.1	9.1
1968	8.9*	7.0**	5.9**	5.4**	4.8**	13.6*	10.2*	9.4*	11.5*	14.9*	8.9*	9.5*	110.0	9.2
1969	13.5*	11.5**	9.9**	10.2**	9.4**	12.5*	27.0**	16.5**	8.9*	8.8*	7.5*	7.6*	143.0	11.9
1970	9.8*	8.1**	7.2**	6.4**	5.7**	7.1**	10.1*	8.9*	7.8	6.0	6.7	6.9	91.2	7.6
													-	
Total	62.9	48.6	46.3	42.0	39.9	63.4	121.0	92.6	68.8	61.0	52.6	56.1	755.4	63.2
Mean	9.0	6.9	6.6	6.0	5.7	9.0	17.3	13.2	9.8	8.7	7.5	8.0	107.8	9.0
														
Estim	ated In	flow to	Lake "	A"										
Mean	4.5	3.4	3.3	3.0	2.8	4.5	8.6	6.6	4.9	4.4	3.8	4.0	53.9	4.5
								1						
Estim	ated In	flow to	Lake "	в"		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
Mean	4.5	3.5	3.3	3.0	2.8	4.5	8.7	6.6	4.9	4.3	3.7	4.0	53.9	4.5
		}						1						~ [
	* Es	timated	by cor	relatio	on with	record	ed dis	charge	at Bash	aw Bro	ok near	Shell	Lake	
- 	** Es	timated	by cor	relatio	on with	record	led dis	charge	at Name	kagon	River n	ear Tro		
] [,					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	-{ 	[[[-					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
						<u> </u>					·		-	
*						·								

Mean	4.	5	3.4	3.3	3.0	2.8	4.5	8.6	6.6	4.9	4.4	3.8	4.0	53.9	4.5
Estim	ated	In	flow to	Lake	в"										
Mean	4.	5	3.5	3.3	3.0	2.8	4.5	8.7	6.6	4.9	4.3	3.7	4.0	53.9	4.5
	*	Es	timated	by co:	 relatio	n with	record	ed dis	charge	at Bask	aw Broo	ok near	Shell	Lake	
	**	Es	timated	by co	relatio	n with	record	ed dis	charge	at Name	kagon I	li.ver n	ear Tro	9 0	
	 												· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
						· · · · ·									-
	<u> </u>					a di 📻									
			<u> </u>					[

\$

:

	Elevation of	Date									
Well Number	top of casing (feet) above	Oct. 2 Water Le	3, 1970 vel (feet)	Nov. 6, 1970 Water Level (feet							
	mean sea level	below land surface	above mean sea level	below land surface	above mean sea level						
GS 1	964.62	4.64	959.98	-	~						
GS 3	966.78	7.20	959.58	-							
GS 4	1,024.73	61.80	962.93	61.72	963.01						
GS 5	1,018.51	46.10	972.41	-	-						
GS 6	992.52	12.81	979.71		-						
GS 7	971.07	9.97	961.10	-	-						
GS 9	984.97	21.38	963.59	21.29	963.68						

Table 5.--Loon Creek Area - Ground-Water Levels

Location of each well shown on figure 1.